| 1 2 | JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney |
|--|--|
| 3 4 | BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | BENJAMIN P. TOLKOFF (NYB 4294443) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7296 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Benjamin.Tolkoff@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff |
| 11 12 13 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 14 15 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, V. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER FED. R. CRIM. P. 5.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3161 TEMMY EDIA, |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | On December 16, 2009, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for the defendant's initial appearance. On December 17, the parties appeared for identification of counsel and entry of pleas. At that time, the parties requested, and the Court agreed, to hold a detention hearing on December 21, 2009. The matter was set for January 14, 2010 for an initial appearance in district court. The parties requested that time between December 17, 2009, |
| | |

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCL. TIME US v. EDIA; CR 09-1145 JSW

Casse 4:09-cr-01145-JSW Document 6 Filed 12/18/09 Page 22 of 133

through January 14, 2010, be excluded under the speedy trial act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, to afford 1 2 adequate preparation of counsel and assure continuity of counsel. The parties agree that, taking 3 into account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases, good cause exists for this 4 extension. 5 The defendant also agrees to exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable 6 under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the continuance was the 7 reasonable time necessary for continuity of defense counsel and effective preparation. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a 8 continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 9 10 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). 11 SO STIPULATED: 12 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO 13 **United States Attorney** 14 DATED: December 17, 2009 15 BENJAMIN P. TOLKOFF 16 **Assistant United States Attorney** 17 DATED: December 17, 2009 18 GEOFFREY HANSEN 19 Attorney for TEMMY EDIA 20 // 21 22 // 23 // 24 // 25 26 27 // 28

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCL. TIME US v. EDIA; CR 09-1145 JSW

Casse 4::09-cr-01145-JSW Document 6 Filed 12/17/09 Page 33:of 133

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from December 17, 2009, through January 14, 2010, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(7)(A); FRCP 5.1(d). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

8 SO ORDERED.

DATED: 12/18/09

 HOROX Judge Joseph C. Spero United S Judge Joseph C. Spero DISTRICT OF COMMENTAL PROPERTY OF COMMENTS OF COMME